



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,212	12/02/2003	Edward J. Koeneman	58482-010101	5429

7590 05/23/2008  
ATTN: CHRISTOPHER DARROW, ESQ.  
GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP  
SUITE 400E  
2450 COLORADO AVENUE  
SANTA MONICA, CA 90404

EXAMINER
----------

FOREMAN, JONATHAN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3736

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/23/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/727,212

**Applicant(s)**

KOENEMAN ET AL.

**Examiner**

JONATHAN ML FOREMAN

**Art Unit**

3736

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JONATHAN ML FOREMAN.(3) Jonathan Bingham.(2) Daniel H. Wu.(4) Charles Berman.

Date of Interview: 19 May 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 69.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 5,012,820.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner's interpretation of the prior art was discussed. Possible claim amendments to overcome the prior art were proposed. Upon cursory review, the proposed amendments appear to overcome the prior art of record. However, the claims will be reviewed for patentability upon formal entry into the case.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jonathan ML Foreman/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3736

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.